

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/716,506	WHITTLE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher R. Tate	1655

All Participants:

(1) Christopher R. Tate.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Richard Fichter.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 May 2007

Time: 11:00 AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All, in general

Claims discussed:

All, in general

Prior art documents discussed:

All, in general

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called Applicant's representative to discuss Examiner-proposed amendments to the claims to bring them in condition for allowance (including using the same/similar claim language to that of the previously allowed parent Applications). Applicants representative planned to discuss the proposed claim amendments with clients. Applicant's representative left a voice-message on 11 May 2007 stating that the clients were still discussing the proposed claim amendments and that no decision had yet been made by them. .